

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/610,461	07/05/2000	Juha Ojanpera	460-009524-US(PAR)	4189
2512 7	590 09/21/2004		EXAMINER	
PERMAN & GREEN			OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N	
425 POST ROAD FAIRFIELD, CT 06824			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
raiki illi,	C1 00024	•.	2655	
			DATE MAILED: 09/21/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/610,461	OJANPERA, JUHA					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
1	Michael N. Opsasnick	2655					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply 1 ff NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ju</u>	<u>ıly 2004</u> .						
							
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 48	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 May 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ★ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
dee the attached detailed Office deticition a list		~					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>						

Application/Control Number: 09/610,461

Art Unit: 2655

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Second 'claim 40' is objected to because of the following informalities: There are two sets of claim 40. Either cancel one of the claims labeled as claim 40 and renumber the remaining claims, or renumber the second claim 40 as claim 41. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsumoto et al (5819212) in view of Manjunath et al (6691084).

As per claims 1,21,27,30,38,40-47, Matsumoto et al (5819212) teaches coding a audio signal:

"examining a part of the audio signal......to be coded......producing a set of predicted......pitch predictor orders" as LPC analysis (Fig. 1, subblock 130);

Art Unit: 2655

"determining a coding efficiency....using the determined coding efficiency......to be coded....by using information....audio signal to be coded" as band splitting and coding at different rates (fig. 5, col. 10 lines 19-65) and V/UV decisions based on the input signal (col. 11 lines 1-24);

"determining......prediction signals" as error signal, and choosing the coefficients to reduce such error signal (col. 11 lines 25-40).

Matsumoto et al (5819212) does not explicitly teach using the determined coding efficiency to select a pitch predictor order for the selected coding method by comparing coding efficiencies, however, Manjunath et al (6691084) teaches selecting the pitch predictor order according to the coding mode, wherein the coding efficiencies are determined for that particular type of frame, and hence the predictor order is chosen based on that type of frame (col. 15 line 49 − col. 16 line 11 → NELP, PPP, and CELP; each has a different pitch predictive order that is used according to each coding method). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of audio coding to modify the teachings of Matsumoto et al (5819212) with using the determined coding efficiency to select a pitch predictor order for the selected coding method because it would improve the coding efficiency of each frame based on the content of each frame (Manjunath, col. 1 line 55 − col. 2 line 9).

As per claim 2, <u>Matsumoto et al (5819212)</u> teaches predictive coding (Fig. 1, subblock 130).

Application/Control Number: 09/610,461

Art Unit: 2655

As per claims 3,29,32,36,37, and 39, <u>Matsumoto et al (5819212)</u> teaches prediction based on input audio (Fig. 1, subblock 130).

As per claims 4,22,28,31, <u>Matsumoto et al (5819212)</u> teaches CELP based encoding using error calculations (col. 10 lines 59-65).

As per claims 5-13,23,35, <u>Matsumoto et al (5819212)</u> teaches the calculation of distortion errors based on frequency information and coding efficiency (col. 20 lines 20-45).

As per claim 14, Matsumoto et al (5819212) teaches MDCT (col. 18 lines 23-50).

As per claims 15,24,33, and 34, <u>Matsumoto et al (5819212)</u> teaches data order, lag, pitch predictor coefficients, and error information (col. 18, lines 20-65).

As per claims 16,17, and 25, <u>Matsumoto et al (5819212)</u> teaches input speech frames (Fig. 6a,b, and c).

As per claims 18,19, <u>Matsumoto et al (5819212)</u> teaches a least squares method, and the coding error derived from the predictive error (col. 20 lines 15-58).

Application/Control Number: 09/610,461

Art Unit: 2655

As per claims 20,26, <u>Matsumoto et al (5819212)</u> teaches a transmitting device (col. 1 lines 1-15).

As per claim 44, the combination of Matsumoto et al (5819212) in view of Manjunath(6691084) teaches calculating a reference value, comparing reference values, and making a determination based on this reference value (Manjunath et al (5819212), as calculating initial values of the frame -- fig. 3, subblock 302, classifying the frame -- fig. 3, subblock 304, and based upon the value of the parameters, choosing the appropriate mode -- fig. 3, subblocks 310-314).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 11/24/2003 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Art Unit: 2655

Conclusion

6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231
or faxed to:
(703) 872 9314,
(for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")
Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal
Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Opsasnick, telephone number (703)305-4089, who is available Tuesday-Thursday, 9am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Doris To, can be reached at (703)305-4827. The facsimile phone number for this group is (703)872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group 2600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750, the 2600 Customer Service telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mno 9/8/2004

SUSAN MCFADDEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER